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- Geo-localization is the task of predicting geographic location (latitude, longitude) from images.
- The goal of this work is planet-scale geo-localization from a single image.


## Challenges:

- Huge diversity of scenes all over the earth.
- Appearance variation of the same location under different daytime or weather conditions.



## Related Works:

- CNNs trained with large datasets have significantly improved the performance of geo-localization methods and enabled extending the task to the scale of the entire world.


## Planet-Scale Geo-localization Approaches:

Hays et al. Im2GPS
First attempt for worldscale geo-localization using retrieval method

Vo et al.
[L]kNN
A retrieval-based geo-
localization system that combined Im2GPS and PlaNet

Muller et al.
ISNs
Introduced contextual
knowledge about environmental scenes into geo-localization

Theiner et al. SemP
Introduced semantic partitioning and interpretable geo-localization
 2008

## ECCV 2016



## Approach:

- Vision Transformer: Early aggregation of global information helps to focus on fine-grained cues.
- Semantic Segmentation: Provides robustness to appearance variation at same location.
- Multi-task Learning: Predict the scene type (i.e., natural, urban, indoor) to better learn scene-specific features.
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## TransLocator:

- Dual-branch vision transformer - RGB image and corresponding Semantic maps - complementary information of same input.
- Efficient and light-weight fusion between two branches. We sum the CLS tokens of each branch after every transformer encoder layer.

$$
{ }^{(i)} x^{(k)}=\left[g\left(\sum_{j \in\{\mathrm{rgb}, \mathrm{seg}\}} f\left({ }^{(j)} x_{\mathrm{cls}}^{(k)}\right)\right) \|^{(i)} x_{\text {patch }}^{(k)}\right]
$$

- Different features are essential for various environmental settings, such as indoor and outdoor urban or natural scenes. Geo-localization and scene recognition are performed in multi-task fashion.
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## Experimental Results:

- Dataset Used
$>$ Training: MediaEval Placing Task 2016 dataset $^{1}$ (MP-16) containing 4.72M geo-tagged images sourced from Flickr.
$>$ Validation: YFCC26k ${ }^{2}$, containing 25,600 geo-tagged images.
$>$ Evaluation: $\operatorname{Im} 2$ GPS $^{3}, \operatorname{Im} 2 G P S 3 k^{4}$ and $Y F C C 4 k^{5}$, containing 237, 2,997 and 4,536 geo-tagged images, respectively.
- Reporting New State-of-the-Art Results
$>$ Using TransLocator, we obtained the following continent-level performance improvements.
■ Im2GPS: 5.5\%, Im2GPS3k: 14.1\%, YFCC4k: 4.9\%, YFCC26k: 9.9\%
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## Quantitative Results on Im2GPS:

| Dataset | Method | Distance ( $a_{r}$ [\%] @ km) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Street | City | Region | Country | Continent |
|  |  | 1 km | 25 km | 200 km | 750 km | 2500 km |
| Im2GPS | Human | - | - | 3.8 | 13.9 | 39.3 |
|  | [L]kNN, $\sigma=4$ | 14.4 | 33.3 | 47.7 | 61.6 | 73.4 |
|  | MvMF | 8.4 | 32.6 | 39.4 | 57.2 | 80.2 |
|  | PlaNet | 8.4 | 24.5 | 37.6 | 53.6 | 71.3 |
|  | CPlaNet | 16.5 | 37.1 | 46.4 | 62.0 | 78.5 |
|  | $\text { ISNs }\left(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{~S}_{3}\right)$ | 16.5 | 42.2 | 51.9 | 66.2 | 81.0 |
|  | ISNs (M, $\mathrm{f}^{*}, \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ ) | 16.9 | 43.0 | 51.9 | 66.7 | 80.2 |
|  | $-\overline{\mathrm{Vi}} \mathrm{~T}-\overline{\mathrm{M}} \mathrm{~T}$ | $1 \overline{8} . \overline{2}$ | $\overline{46.4}$ | $\overline{6} 2.1$ | $7 \overline{4} .5$ | $85 . \overline{2}$ |
|  | TransLocator | 19.9 | 48.1 | 64.6 | 75.6 | 86.7 |
|  | $\Delta_{\text {Ours - ISNs }}$ | $3.0 \uparrow$ | $5.1 \uparrow$ | $12.7 \uparrow$ | $8.9 \uparrow$ | $5.5 \uparrow$ |

Where in the World is this Image?
Transformer-based Geo-localization in the Wild

## Ablation Experiments:

- ViT-B/16 performs better than ResNet101 and EfficientNet-B4.

| Dataset | Method | Distance ( $a_{r}$ [\%] @ km) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Street <br> 1 km | $\begin{gathered} \text { City } \\ 25 \mathbf{k m} \end{gathered}$ | Region 200 km | Country $750 \text { km }$ | Continent 2500 km |
| Im2GPS | ResNet101 | 14.3 | 41.4 | 51.9 | 64.1 | 78.9 |
|  | EfficientNet-B4 | 15.4 | 42.7 | 52.8 | 64.8 | 79.5 |
|  | ViT base | 16.9 | 43.4 | 54.5 | 67.8 | 80.7 |
|  | + Seg | 17.6 | 44.8 | 58.9 | 70.0 | 83.3 |
|  | + Seg + MFF | 19.0 | 47.2 | 62.7 | 73.5 | 85.7 |
|  | + Seg + MFF + Scene | 19.9 | 48.1 | 64.6 | 75.6 | 86.7 |
| $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{Im} 2 \mathbf{G P S} \\ 3 \mathbf{k} \end{gathered}$ | ResNet101 | 9.0 | 25.1 | 32.8 | 46.1 | 63.5 |
|  | EfficientNet-B4 | 9.2 | 26.8 | 32.7 | 47.0 | 63.9 |
|  | ViT base | 9.9 | 28.0 | 37.8 | 54.2 | 70.7 |
|  | + Seg | 10.5 | 29.1 | 42.5 | 55.8 | 73.6 |
|  | + Seg + MFF | 11.1 | 30.2 | 45.0 | 56.8 | 78.1 |
|  | + Seg + MFF + Scene | 11.8 | 31.1 | 46.7 | 58.9 | 80.1 |
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## Ablation Experiments:

- ViT-B/16 performs better than ResNet101 and EfficientNet-B4.
- Adding segmentation branch helps over single-branch (RGB) system. Attention based fusion is better than concatenation-based fusion.
- Using multi-task learning further improves the performance.

| Dataset | Method | Distance ( $a_{r}$ [\%] @ km) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Street <br> 1 km | $\begin{gathered} \text { City } \\ 25 \mathrm{~km} \end{gathered}$ | Region 200 km | Country 750 km | Continent 2500 km |
| Im2GPS | ResNet101 | 14.3 | 41.4 | 51.9 | 64.1 | 78.9 |
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## Qualitative Results:



## Error Analysis:

Examples of incorrectly localized Im2GPS images


G - Thailand
P-Morocco
Error-10754 km


G - Hebei
P - Tokyo
Error - 2024 km


G - Alaska
P-Greenland
Error - 3936 km


G - Libya
P-Sudan
Error - 2019 km

Examples of incorrectly localized YFCC4k images


G - Varanasi
P - Agra
Error - 645 km


G - Jacksonville
P - West Mexico
Error - 2909 km


G - Colorado
P - Tokyo
Error - 9860 km


G - Berlin
P-San Jose
Error - 9138 km

## Thanks for watching our presentation!

Sample Code and data is provided on Github:
https://github.com/ShramanPramanick/Transformer_Based_Geo-localization
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